



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

OGILVY RENAULT LLP  
1, Place Ville Marie  
SUITE 2500  
MONTREAL QC H3B 1R1 CA CANADA

**COPY MAILED**  
FEB 18 2010

In re Application of  
Claude Thibeault, et al.  
Application No. 10/022,851  
Filed: December 20, 2001  
Attorney Docket No. 17273-6US-AD

ON PETITION

This is a decision in response to the renewed petition, filed December 1, 2009, to revive the above-identified application under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for a failure to reply in a timely manner to a non-final Office action mailed September 6, 2005. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on April 19, 2006. On September 9, 2009, a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was filed; however, the petition was subsequently dismissed in a decision mailed November 12, 2009. In response, on December 1, 2009, the present petition was filed.

While this application has been abandoned for an extended period of time, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is relying on petitioner's duty of candor and good faith and accepting the statement that "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional." See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure, 62 Fed. Reg., at 53160 and 53178; 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office, at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and 109) (applicant obligated under 37 CFR 10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b) to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office).

Accordingly, the petition satisfies the conditions for revival pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of an amendment; (2) the petition fee of \$810; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 2611 for appropriate action in the normal course of business on the reply received September 9, 2009.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. Inquiries relating to further prosecution should be directed to the Technology Center.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Sherry D. Brinkley". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Sherry" and last name "Brinkley" clearly distinguishable.

Sherry D. Brinkley  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions